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Question 1: **Incorrect**

You are working on a Jupyter notebook in Microsoft Fabric that analyzes website traffic data using SQL queries. The queries are taking too long to execute, impacting the responsiveness of your analysis.

Which of the following techniques would most likely improve the performance of your SQL queries?

A. Use descriptive aliases for table and column names to improve code readability.

B. Eliminate unnecessary SELECT statements and WHERE clauses to reduce data processing.

C. Pre-aggregate commonly used data into smaller temporary tables for faster retrieval.

D. Use LIKE operators instead of JOINs to compare text values in different tables.

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **B**
* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

C. Pre-aggregate commonly used data into smaller temporary tables for faster retrieval.

Explanation:

Option A improves code readability but doesn't affect query performance.

Option B is essential for efficient queries but might not be applicable to all scenarios.

Option D can be inefficient and error-prone compared to JOINs for text comparisons.

Option C directly addresses the slow query execution. Pre-aggregating frequently used data into smaller temporary tables reduces the amount of data processed by subsequent queries, significantly improving their performance and responsiveness.
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Question 2: **Incorrect**

Your Fabric application uses large datasets for interactive visualizations with drill-down capabilities. Users experience lag when zooming into specific data points or expanding filters.

What techniques can you implement to optimize performance for drill-down and filtering scenarios?

A. Implement data summaries at different levels of aggregation to support efficient drill-down exploration.

B. Leverage client-side rendering for interactive visuals to reduce server load and improve responsiveness.

C. Preload frequently accessed data segments and enable incremental data fetch for drill-down operations.

D. Optimize queries for specific filter combinations used in drill-down and filter actions.

* **C**
* **D**
* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

* **B**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: B

Explanation:

B is the most effective approach for improving drill-down and filtering responsiveness:

Client-side rendering offloads visual generation to the user's browser, reducing server-side processing and improving interaction smoothness.

This is particularly advantageous for interactive drill-down scenarios where visuals update dynamically.

A is helpful for general query performance but not specifically for drill-down:

Data summaries at different levels can speed up initial data retrieval, but they don't directly address the lag experienced during drill-down operations.

C can be beneficial, but client-side rendering offers greater impact:

Preloading and incremental data fetch can improve drill-down speed, but client-side rendering provides a more significant and consistent performance boost.

D is important for query optimization but not the primary concern for drill-down:

Optimized queries for specific filter combinations can enhance overall query performance but don't directly address the responsiveness of interactive filtering and drill-down actions.
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Question 3: **Incorrect**

You are developing a real-time anomaly detection system in Microsoft Fabric to monitor website traffic. The data pipeline ingests website clicks with timestamps and user IDs. You need to identify suspicious activity like unusually high click rates from individual users.

Which approach should you use in your dataflow to filter for potential anomalies before feeding the data to the anomaly detection model?

A. Calculate the average clicks per user over a historical window and filter for users exceeding a predefined threshold.

B. Group the click data by user ID and use a dataflow window function to identify rolling percentiles and flag outliers.

C. Implement a dataflow script using Python to dynamically calculate user baselines based on recent activity and filter for deviations.

D. Leverage Azure Monitor alerts to identify sudden spikes in website traffic exceeding a preconfigured threshold.

* **D**
* **A**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

B. Group the click data by user ID and use a dataflow window function to identify rolling percentiles and flag outliers.

Explanation:

Option A relies on a static threshold, missing potential anomalies below the threshold or due to changing user behavior.

Option C adds unnecessary complexity and might be computationally expensive for large datasets.

Option D is reactive and alerts only after anomalies occur, not providing proactive detection for model training.

Option B leverages dataflow window functions to calculate rolling percentiles for each user within a recent timeframe. This dynamically adapts to individual user patterns and flags those exceeding their normal click rate behavior, providing efficient and accurate data for anomaly detection model training.
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Question 4: **Incorrect**

You are a data engineer for a large organization. You have been tasked with ingesting data from various sources into your data lakehouse. You decide to use a data pipeline for this task. Which of the following steps would you take to ensure efficient data ingestion? (Choose two)

A. Use a single pipeline to ingest all data sources simultaneously.

B. Create separate pipelines for each data source and run them concurrently.

C. Create separate pipelines for each data source but run them sequentially.

D. Use a single pipeline but ingest data from different sources at different times.

* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

B & D. Create separate pipelines for each data source and run them concurrently & Use a single pipeline but ingest data from different sources at different times.

Explanation: Option B allows for parallel ingestion of data from different sources, speeding up the process. Option D allows for efficient use of resources by ingesting data from different sources at different times.

Bottom of Form

Top of Form

Question 5: **Incorrect**

Your dataflow in Microsoft Fabric, which extracts data from Azure Data Lake Storage and loads it into the semantic model, is experiencing slow processing times. You have identified that the transformation step involving a large join operation is the main bottleneck.

Which of the following optimization techniques would be most effective in improving the performance of the join operation within the dataflow?

A. Partition the data tables based on relevant join columns before performing the join.

B. Implement materialized views in the semantic model to pre-calculate and store frequently used aggregations.

C. Optimize the SQL query used for the join by using appropriate indexes and join algorithms.

D. Leverage Azure Data Factory to copy the data to managed disks before joining it in the dataflow.

* **B**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **C**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

A. Partition the data tables based on relevant join columns before performing the join.

Explanation:

Option B might be helpful for downstream analysis but doesn't address the specific join performance issue.

Option C is crucial for query optimization, but only applies to the specific SQL used within the join.

Option D adds unnecessary complexity and potentially increases costs without directly addressing the join efficiency.

Option A significantly improves join performance by reducing the amount of data scanned during the join process. Partitioning based on relevant join columns ensures that only matching data segments are compared, leading to faster processing and resource utilization.
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Question 6: **Incorrect**

You are developing a Fabric application that allows users to analyze sales data. The data includes sensitive information such as customer names, addresses, and purchase amounts. You need to implement security measures to ensure that only authorized users can access specific data.

Which combination of Fabric features would provide the most effective layered security for this scenario?

A. Dynamic row-level security (RLS) with Azure Active Directory (AAD) groups and object-level security (OLS) using data classification labels.

B. OLS using data loss prevention (DLP) policies and containerization with Azure Kubernetes Service (AKS).

C. AAD role-based access control (RBAC) and Fabric row filters based on user claims.

D. OLS using encryption and Fabric permissions based on user roles.

* **D**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **B**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

A is the most effective solution because it implements a layered security approach:

Dynamic RLS allows you to define rules that restrict access to data based on user attributes and the data itself. This ensures that users can only see the data they are authorized to access, even within the same dataset.

AAD groups provide a convenient way to manage user access by assigning users to predefined groups with specific permissions.

OLS with data classification labels adds another layer of security by allowing you to classify data based on its sensitivity and then enforce access control based on those labels. This is useful for protecting highly sensitive information such as customer names and addresses.

B is not the best option because:

DLP policies are good for preventing data exfiltration but don't provide fine-grained access control within the Fabric application.

Containerization with AKS is good for security isolation but doesn't address the specific need for user-based access control within the application.

C is not the best option because:

AAD RBAC provides role-based access control at the application level but doesn't offer the flexibility of dynamic RLS based on user attributes and data content.

Fabric row filters can be useful for limiting the data displayed to users based on certain criteria, but they don't provide true access control as users could potentially access more data than intended by manipulating the filters.

D is not the best option because:

OLS with encryption is good for data at rest but doesn't address the need for access control within the Fabric application.

Fabric permissions based on user roles are similar to AAD RBAC and lack the flexibility of dynamic RLS.
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Question 7: **Incorrect**

You are a data scientist at Contoso Healthcare, tasked with analyzing patient data stored in a lakehouse within the Fabric platform. You need to identify patients with specific diagnoses and compare their average medication costs across different regions.

Which of the following considerations would be MOST important when writing queries against the lakehouse for this analysis?

A. Ensuring the query uses proper syntax and joins based on patient IDs and medication codes.

B. Choosing the appropriate data format (parquet, delta) for optimal query performance within the lakehouse.

C. Partitioning the data based on diagnosis and region to enable faster filtering and aggregation.

D. Implementing security measures to restrict access to sensitive patient data within the query.

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **A**
* **B**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

C. Partitioning the data based on diagnosis and region to enable faster filtering and aggregation.

Explanation:

While syntax and joins are crucial, they are not the most significant factor for performance.

Data format can impact performance but is less crucial than partitioning for this specific analysis.

Security is essential, but Option D focuses on query execution rather than data structure optimization.

Partitioning data by diagnosis and region pre-emptively divides the data into smaller subsets, enabling significantly faster filtering and aggregation for queries targeting specific diagnoses and regions, improving overall analysis efficiency.
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Question 8: **Incorrect**

You've implemented materialized views in Azure Synapse Analytics for pre-aggregated sales data. Users often analyze sales per region compared to the national average. To further improve query performance for this specific comparison, what additional step could be taken?

A. Implement partitioning on the region and date columns in the materialized views.

B. Create a calculated measure in Power BI Desktop to calculate the national average dynamically.

C. Add the national average as a pre-calculated column to the materialized views.

D. None of the above, the existing materialized views already cover this scenario.

* **B**
* **A**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

The correct answer is C. Add the national average as a pre-calculated column to the materialized views.

Here's why:

A: While partitioning could improve performance for other queries, it doesn't directly address the specific comparison with the national average.

B: Calculating the national average dynamically in Power BI Desktop might impact performance for frequent queries due to additional processing.

C: Pre-calculating the national average within the materialized views avoids the need for separate calculations and improves query performance for this specific comparison.

D: While existing materialized views might handle some queries efficiently, pre-calculating the national average further optimizes this specific scenario.

Explanation of wrong answers:

A: Partitioning is beneficial for other scenarios but not directly relevant to the national average comparison.

B: Dynamic calculations in Power BI can impact performance for frequent queries.

D: Optimizing for specific analysis needs through additional calculations can further improve performance.
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Question 9: **Correct**

Your Azure Databricks notebook running a machine learning pipeline is taking longer than expected to train a model on large datasets. The notebook performs several data transformations and aggregations before feeding data to the model.

Which approach should you implement first to identify and resolve the performance bottlenecks in the Databricks notebook?

A. Profile the notebook execution using Spark UI to identify slow stages and functions in the pipeline.

B. Optimize individual transformations and aggregations within the notebook code by utilizing efficient data structures and libraries.

C. Increase the number of Databricks worker nodes to distribute the workload across more processing power.

C. Cache intermediate results from transformations to avoid re-computation during model training.

* **B**
* **D**
* **C**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

A. Profile the notebook execution using Spark UI to identify slow stages and functions in the pipeline.

Explanation:

Option B is necessary for long-term optimization, but without identifying the specific bottlenecks, you might be optimizing the wrong parts of the pipeline.

Option C might be a temporary fix, but throwing hardware resources at the problem without understanding the cause can be inefficient and costly.

Option D could offer some improvement, but it doesn't address the underlying performance issues within the code.

Option A provides the most actionable insights by using Spark UI to identify the specific stages or functions within the notebook that are taking the longest time. This allows you to focus your optimization efforts on the most impactful areas and significantly improve the overall performance of your machine learning pipeline.
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Question 10: **Correct**

You are analyzing website traffic data stored as Delta tables in Azure Databricks. The Delta tables containing clickstream data have grown to massive sizes, impacting query performance and storage costs.

Which of the following approaches would be most effective in optimizing the file size and performance of your Delta tables without losing historical data?

A. Implement Delta table vacuum operations to remove deleted files and optimize remaining data files.

B. Partition the Delta tables based on timestamps to improve query performance for specific date ranges.

C. Merge older Delta table files into larger compressed parquet files for efficient storage and retrieval.

D. Leverage Azure Synapse Analytics to store the data instead of Delta tables in Azure Databricks.

* **B**
* **D**
* **C**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

A. Implement Delta table vacuum operations to remove deleted files and optimize remaining data files.

Explanation:

Option B can improve query performance, but doesn't directly address the large file sizes impacting overall storage costs.

Option C might initially reduce storage size, but merging older data leads to larger files, potentially negating the benefit.

Option D introduces unnecessary data movement and additional costs without addressing the Delta table optimization within Azure Databricks.

Option A directly tackles the issue. Delta table vacuum operations efficiently remove obsolete deleted files and optimize remaining data files within the existing Delta format, significantly reducing file sizes and improving overall performance without data loss.
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Question 11: **Incorrect**

You are a data analyst at AdventureWorks, a travel company. You need to develop a Power BI report that combines sales data from an Azure Synapse Analytics workspace and customer data from a semantic model deployed in the Fabric platform.

Which of the following approaches would be MOST efficient for connecting to and querying the Fabric model through Power BI using the XMLA endpoint?

A. Use the "Get Data" menu in Power BI Desktop and select "Azure Analysis Services" from the sources, then enter the XMLA endpoint URL and credentials.

B. Build a dataflow in Azure Data Factory (ADF) that extracts customer data from the Fabric model using the XMLA connector, then stores it in Azure Data Lake Storage for consumption by Power BI.

C. Implement a stored procedure in the Fabric model that aggregates and prepares the desired customer data, then expose it through an Analysis Services Tabular Object Model (TOM) endpoint for direct querying by Power BI.

D. Use the Azure Data Catalog to register the Fabric model as a dataset and leverage its built-in connection information to link it within Power BI using the "Get Data" feature

* **D**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **B**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

A. Use the "Get Data" menu and select "Azure Analysis Services"

Explanation:

Option B involves unnecessary data movement and adds operational complexity.

Option C requires advanced programming skills for stored procedures and isn't the simplest approach.

Option D relies on registering the model in Azure Data Catalog, which might not be readily available.

Option A is the most direct and efficient approach. Power BI natively supports connecting to Azure Analysis Services using the XMLA endpoint, allowing you to specify the URL and credentials directly within the "Get Data" interface, enabling seamless integration with the Fabric model for your Power BI
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Question 12: **Incorrect**

Your Fabric data lakehouse stores customer data from various sources, including website orders and social media interactions. These sources have different customer identifiers, making direct analysis difficult.

Which type of bridge table is MOST suitable for linking these data sources based on customer information?

A. A single bridge table with primary keys from both source tables and customer data as the linking attribute.

B. Separate bridge tables for each source, joining on matching customer email addresses.

C. A many-to-many bridge table with foreign keys for both source tables and customer ID as the linking attribute.

D. Utilize Azure Data Factory with copy activities to merge the data directly into a single customer table

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **A**
* **B**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: C

Explanation:

A: A single bridge table might not be flexible enough to handle different customer identifiers from each source.

B: Separate bridge tables for each source create more complexity and maintenance overhead.

C: A many-to-many bridge table with foreign keys for both source tables and customer ID as the linking attribute provides a flexible and efficient approach. This allows efficient joining of diverse source data based on a common customer identifier.

D: While merging data might seem appealing, it eliminates the potential for separate analysis of each source and can cause data redundancy. Utilizing a bridge table allows individual source analysis while facilitating combined customer insights.
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Question 13: **Incorrect**

Your company is planning a major marketing campaign that is expected to significantly increase website traffic and data ingestion. You anticipate a 300% surge in data volume during the campaign period.

How should you prepare your Fabric environment to handle the increased load effectively?

A. Increase the storage capacity of all Fabric data lakes by 50%.

B. Schedule preventive maintenance on all Fabric resources during the campaign.

C. Implement a data throttling mechanism to limit data intake into Fabric.

D. Configure Fabric to automatically scale resources based on CPU and memory utilization.

* **C**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **A**
* **B**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: D

Explanation:

A: While increasing storage capacity might be necessary, it's not the first priority. Scaling based on actual usage (CPU and memory) is a more efficient approach.

B: Preventive maintenance during a critical period like the campaign can disrupt operations and should be avoided unless absolutely necessary.

C: Data throttling can be helpful in extreme situations, but it might negatively impact data pipeline performance and delay insights generation.

D: Automatic scaling based on CPU and memory utilization is the most proactive and efficient way to handle the expected surge in data volume. This ensures Fabric resources dynamically adapt to the increased load, maintaining performance and preventing bottlenecks.
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Question 14: **Incorrect**

You are building a real-time fraud detection system in Microsoft Fabric to analyze transaction data from multiple sources, including customer demographics, bank feeds, and online purchase records. You need to identify suspicious transactions based on inconsistencies or unusual patterns across these disparate data sets.

Which approach should you use to merge or join these data sources for real-time analysis?

A. Use a dataflow to perform a full outer join on all three data sources, even if some fields may not have corresponding values in all datasets.

B. Implement an event stream processor to ingest and correlate data in real-time, enriching transactions with relevant information from each source.

C. Create a unified data model in the semantic model by combining dimensions and facts from all data sources, pre-aggregating data for faster querying.

D. Develop a custom ETL process to extract, transform, and load data from each source into a single relational database table, performing joins during the loading process.

* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **A**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

B. Implement an event stream processor to ingest and correlate data in real-time, enriching transactions with relevant information from each source.

**Explanation:**

* Option A can lead to irrelevant combinations due to the full outer join and might overwhelm the system with unnecessary data.
* Option C pre-aggregates data, which sacrifices real-time analysis for faster querying and doesn't address the need for dynamic correlation across sources.
* Option D introduces latency through ETL processing and limits flexibility for real-time analysis and pattern detection.
* **Option B offers the most efficient and scalable solution for real-time fraud detection. Event stream processors can ingest data from diverse sources, enrich transactions with relevant details on the fly, and trigger alerts based on real-time analysis, making it ideal for identifying suspicious patterns across disparate data sets.**
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Question 15: **Incorrect**

Your Power BI Desktop project uses data from multiple sources, including an on-premises SQL Server database and a cloud-based Azure Synapse Analytics dataset. You need to refresh the data automatically in the report on a daily basis.

Which of the following methods is the MOST efficient and scalable way to achieve automatic data refresh in this scenario?

A. Schedule a manual refresh of the report using the Power BI Desktop refresh button.

B. Use scheduled refreshes within Power BI Desktop and connect to the data sources using their respective credentials.

C. Enable gateway refresh in the Power BI service and configure gateways for both on-premises and cloud data sources.

D. Develop custom code using Azure Functions or Logic Apps to refresh the data and upload it to the Power BI service.

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **A**
* **B**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: C

Explanation:

A: Manual refresh is impractical for daily updates and doesn't scale well.

B: Scheduled refreshes within Power BI Desktop work but require managing credentials within the file, which can be insecure.

C: Gateway refresh in the Power BI service offers a secure and centralized solution. Gateways act as intermediaries between the service and data sources, eliminating the need to embed credentials in the report. This method scales well for various data sources and locations.

D: Custom code solutions can be powerful but require additional development and maintenance effort. They might not be necessary for a simple daily refresh scenario.
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Question 16: **Correct**

You are a data scientist at RetailCo, a clothing retailer, tasked with analyzing sales data in a Fabric warehouse to identify customer segments with the highest average basket value. The data includes customer demographics, purchase history, and product details.

Which of the following considerations would be MOST important when writing your SQL queries for this analysis?

A. Using CASE statements to handle missing values in the customer demographics table.

B. Optimizing joins between tables with high cardinality columns (like product IDs) to improve query performance.

C. Implementing window functions to calculate rolling averages of basket value for each customer across different time periods.

D. Partitioning the data based on customer attributes (age, location) to enable faster aggregation and filtering.

* **A**
* **D**
* **C**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

B. Optimizing joins between tables with high cardinality columns (like product IDs) to improve query performance.

Explanation:

While handling missing values is important, it's not the primary concern for identifying high-value segments.

Window functions might be relevant for different analyses, but not the main focus here.

Data partitioning, though beneficial, wouldn't significantly impact joins with high cardinality columns.

Optimizing joins on high cardinality columns, like product IDs, can significantly improve performance when analyzing large datasets within a warehouse, making it the most crucial consideration for this scenario.

Bottom of Form

Top of Form

Question 17: **Incorrect**

You are building a customer recommendation engine in Microsoft Fabric using transaction data. However, the data contains duplicate product IDs and missing purchase quantities for some orders.

How should you address these data quality issues before building the recommendation model?

A. Use a dataflow to filter out all records with duplicate product IDs and missing quantities.

B. Implement a stored procedure to update missing quantities with an average value and flag duplicate product IDs for manual review.

C. Create calculated columns in the semantic model to impute missing quantities based on similar products and detect duplicates for further investigation.

D. Leverage Azure Data Factory to deduplicate records based on product ID and fill in missing quantities with predefined values.

* **D**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **B**
* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

C. Create calculated columns in the semantic model to impute missing quantities based on similar products and detect duplicates for further investigation.

Explanation:

Option A discards potentially valuable data, reducing model accuracy.

Option B introduces bias with averaging and delays processing with manual review.

Option D may not correctly handle complex duplicates and predefined values might not reflect actual quantities.

Option C addresses both issues within the semantic model without data loss. Imputing missing quantities based on similar products preserves information, while calculated columns for duplicate detection allow for further investigation or correction without impacting the model directly.

Bottom of Form

Top of Form

Question 18: **Correct**

Your Fabric deployment includes a data pipeline ingesting data from various sources, a semantic model for data analysis, and Power BI reports for visualization. You need to ensure the deployment is fault-tolerant and resilient to potential failures.

Which of the following approaches is MOST effective for implementing redundancy and fault tolerance in this scenario?

A. Configure Azure Synapse Analytics for automatic failover to a secondary replica in case of a primary node failure.

B. Implement data pipeline retries and throttling mechanisms to handle temporary data ingestion issues.

C. Enable backup and restore functionality for the semantic model and Power BI reports in case of accidental deletion or corruption.

D. Design the data pipeline to automatically switch to alternative data sources if the primary source becomes unavailable.

* **C**
* **D**
* **B**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

B: While data pipeline retries and throttling can help mitigate temporary issues, they don't address complete data pipeline failures.

C: Backup and restore are essential for data recovery, but they don't provide real-time failover and continuous data analysis.

A: Configuring Azure Synapse Analytics for automatic failover ensures the semantic model remains available and operational even if the primary node fails. This is the most effective approach for maintaining service continuity and data analysis availability.

D: Designing for alternative data sources can be helpful, but it's not always feasible and might not be necessary if the primary source failure is rare or short-lived.

Bottom of Form

Top of Form

Question 19: **Incorrect**

You are working on a customer segmentation project for AdventureWorks, an online travel agency. You have collected profile data on customer demographics, travel preferences, and booking history.

Which Azure service would be most suitable for profiling customer segments and identifying hidden patterns in their behavior for exploratory analysis?

A. Azure Machine Learning Studio

B. Azure Data Factory

C. Azure Cognitive Services

D. Azure Databricks

* **A**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **C**
* **B**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

D. Azure Databricks

**Explanation:**

* Option A focuses on building and deploying machine learning models, not directly addressing exploratory data analysis.
* Option B excels in data pipeline orchestration, not in-depth data exploration and pattern identification.
* Option C is valuable for extracting insights from text and image data but not general customer profile analysis.
* **Option D provides a versatile platform for interactive data exploration with its notebooks, Spark integration, and rich libraries for statistical analysis and visualization, making it ideal for profiling customer segments and uncovering hidden patterns in their behavior.**
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Question 20: **Incorrect**

You are working on a project where you need to ingest data from a real-time streaming source into your data warehouse. Which tools would be the most appropriate for this task? (Choose two)

A. Data Pipeline B. Dataflow C. Notebook D. Stream Analytics Job

* **C**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **B**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

A & D. Data Pipeline & Stream Analytics Job

Explanation: Data Pipeline is suitable for ingesting data from various sources into a data warehouse. Stream Analytics Job is designed for real-time data streaming scenarios. While Dataflows and Notebooks can also ingest data, they are not specifically designed for real-time data streaming scenarios. Therefore, options B and C are not the most appropriate tools for this task.
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Question 21: **Incorrect**

Your company is developing a complex data analytics solution in Fabric, including data pipelines, semantic models, and Power BI reports. The solution targets two distinct user groups: internal analysts and external partners. Each group has different levels of access and security requirements.

What is the MOST effective deployment strategy to meet the needs of both user groups while ensuring data security and governance?

A. Deploy everything into a single Fabric workspace with separate workspaces for analysts and partners.

B. Deploy pipelines and semantic models to a shared workspace, then publish separate versions of Power BI reports to dedicated workspaces for each user group.

C. Implement Azure Data Factory pipelines for external data access and leverage Fabric workspaces only for internal users and data.

D. Create a two-tier architecture with Fabric handling internal data and analytics, and Power BI Premium Embedded for external reporting with controlled data access.

* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **C**
* **A**
* **B**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: D

Explanation:

A: A single workspace doesn't provide sufficient data segregation and access control for distinct user groups with different security requirements.

B: Publishing separate reports might work, but maintaining pipelines and models in a shared workspace introduces complexity and potential data leakage risk.

C: Using Azure Data Factory for external data access can improve security, but you'd still need Fabric for internal data processing and semantic models.

D: Deploying a two-tier architecture leverages Fabric's strengths for internal data pipelines and models while isolating external access through Power BI Premium Embedded. This provides controlled data access, granular security management, and separate user experiences for each group.
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Question 22: **Correct**

You are building a customer segmentation dashboard in Power BI using transaction data with columns for "Purchase Date", "Product Category", and "City". You need to create a line chart showing monthly sales trends for each product category, but only for customers located in major cities (population exceeding 1 million).

Which combination of filtering techniques should you use in your dataflow to achieve this?

A. Use a calculated column to convert "City" to lowercase, then filter based on a predefined list of major city names.

B. Implement a dataflow script using Python to filter for "City" population data via an external API and join it with the transaction data.

C. Create separate tables for each major city by filtering the "City" column and loading them into the semantic model.

D. Leverage a combination of "Date" and "Product Category" filters in Power BI to isolate the desired data without pre-filtering cities.

* **B**
* **A**
* **C**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

D. Leverage a combination of "Date" and "Product Category" filters in Power BI to isolate the desired data without pre-filtering cities.

Explanation:

Option A might overlook cities with different case spellings and requires manual maintenance for city lists.

Option B adds unnecessary complexity and external dependencies for city populations.

Option C creates redundant tables and increases storage requirements.

Option D uses Power BI's built-in filtering capabilities for "Date" and "Product Category". This avoids pre-filtering the entire dataset, maintains flexibility for adjusting criteria, and ensures efficient analysis without duplicating data.
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Question 23: **Incorrect**

You've developed a Fabric application with complex DAX calculations for an interactive report analyzing sales data. Users report slow loading times and unresponsive visuals, especially when filtering by specific regions.

How can you leverage DAX Studio to identify and improve DAX performance bottlenecks in this scenario?

A. Use the Server Timings feature to analyze the execution time of individual DAX measures and identify slow ones.

B. Compare different DAX query formulations using the View Metric functionality to choose the most performant one.

C. Analyze the query tree using the Formula Engine to visualize the data flow and identify potential inefficiencies.

D. Use the Benchmarking tool to compare the performance of your DAX model against a pre-defined baseline.

* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **B**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

A directly addresses the scenario and utilizes a key DAX Studio feature:

Server Timings show the exact execution time of each DAX measure within a query, allowing you to pinpoint slow calculations impacting overall performance.

This helps you identify which measures to optimize for improved user experience.

B is useful for comparing alternative DAX formulations but not for identifying bottlenecks in the current scenario:

View Metric allows comparing performance metrics of different DAX queries, but it doesn't isolate performance issues within a single query.

C can be helpful for understanding complex DAX logic but may not reveal performance issues:

Formula Engine visualizes the query tree and data flow, but it doesn't directly highlight performance bottlenecks.

D is beneficial for overall performance evaluation but not for pinpointing specific issues:

Benchmarking compares against a baseline, but it doesn't reveal specific DAX calculations causing slowness in your model.
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Question 24: **Incorrect**

You are a data analyst at AdventureWorks, a travel company, tasked with analyzing booking data stored in a lakehouse within the Fabric platform. You need to identify customers who booked both flights and hotels in the past year and calculate their total spend.

Which of the following approaches would be MOST efficient and accurate for querying the lakehouse data?

A. Use the Fabric visual query editor to create a join between flight and hotel tables, then filter by date and calculate the total spend using aggregation functions.

B. Write a T-SQL query directly against the lakehouse tables, joining flights and hotels, filtering by date and calculating the total spend using SUM.

C. Extract the relevant data from the lakehouse into an Azure Synapse Analytics workspace using Azure Data Factory, then use Power BI to create a report with the desired calculations.

D. Create a machine learning model that predicts customer spending based on booking history, then target high-spending customers with marketing campaigns.

* **D**
* **A**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

B. Write a T-SQL query directly against the lakehouse tables, joining flights and hotels, filtering by date and calculating the total spend using SUM.

Explanation:

Option A is inefficient and less flexible than T-SQL for complex queries.

Option C involves unnecessary data movement and tool switching, decreasing efficiency.

Option D focuses on prediction rather than immediate analysis of existing data.

Option B utilizes the native language of the lakehouse (T-SQL) and leverages its capabilities for efficient joins, filtering, and aggregation, making it the most direct and accurate approach for this scenario.
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Question 25: **Incorrect**

Your company manages inventory data in a Fabric data warehouse for multiple stores. The data model includes a product dimension table with attributes like product name and color, and a separate store inventory fact table with product IDs, store IDs, and inventory levels. You need to analyze inventory trends across all stores for specific product categories.

How can you denormalize the data model for efficient category-based analysis while minimizing duplication and data inconsistencies?

A. Add a "category ID" column to the store inventory fact table and populate it based on existing product categories.

B. Create a separate "product category dimension" table and join it with the store inventory fact table on product ID.

C. Denormalize the product dimension table by adding a "category name" attribute to each product record.

D. Utilize pre-calculated aggregates in the store inventory fact table for each product category and store combination.

* **D**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **B**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

B: Joining with a separate "product category dimension" table adds another join layer and might not significantly improve performance compared to the current model.

C: Denormalizing the product dimension table by adding "category name" would lead to redundancy and inconsistency if category memberships change.

D: Pre-calculated aggregates might work for specific analysis needs, but limit flexibility and require recalculation for changes in data or analysis requirements.

A: Adding a "category ID" column to the store inventory fact table based on existing product categories offers the most efficient approach. This denormalizes the data without redundancy as the category information is already available. It simplifies joins and enables efficient category-based analysis without affecting consistency or maintenance of the product dimension table.
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Question 26: **Correct**

You've developed a Fabric application with complex semantic models powering interactive reports for analyzing large sales datasets. Users report slow loading times and unresponsive visuals.

Which combination of techniques would most effectively improve query and report visual performance?

A. Implement materialized views for frequently accessed data segments and pre-aggregate data based on common filter combinations.

B. Optimize queries by minimizing joins, selecting only relevant columns, and using appropriate data types.

C. Reduce data volume by applying granular data retention policies and leveraging data compression techniques.

D. Increase hardware resources on the Fabric cluster and enable caching for frequently accessed data sets.

* **D**
* **C**
* **B**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

A is the most targeted approach to directly address query and visual performance:

Materialized views pre-compute specific data subsets frequently used in queries, significantly reducing query execution time.

Pre-aggregation prepares pre-summarized data based on common filter combinations, further speeding up report loading.

B is essential for optimal query performance but not sufficient for visuals:

Optimizing queries by minimizing joins, selecting relevant columns, and using appropriate data types reduces query execution time and CPU usage.

However, it primarily impacts data retrieval, not visual rendering speed.

C can be helpful long-term but doesn't address immediate performance issues:

Granular data retention policies and data compression techniques reduce storage requirements and overall resource usage, improving long-term scalability.

However, they don't directly address the immediate slow loading times and unresponsive visuals.

D can provide temporary relief but is not a sustainable solution:

Increasing hardware resources can temporarily improve performance, but it's a costly and unsustainable solution for long-term scalability.

Additionally, caching only benefits frequently accessed datasets, not addressing broader performance issues.
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Question 27: **Incorrect**

You are building a Fabric application that uses dynamic row-level security (RLS) based on user roles and data sensitivity labels. Users can access sales data, and sensitive customer information is tagged with the "Confidential" label. You want to validate that your RLS implementation is working correctly.

Which TWO methods are most effective for validating RLS in this scenario?

A. Implement unit tests for the RLS policies using a mocking framework.

B. Run ad-hoc queries using different user accounts and verify the data returned.

C. Analyze Fabric application logs for successful and failed RLS evaluations.

D. Deploy the application to a staging environment and test user access manually.

* **A**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: B and C

Explanation:

A is partially correct: Unit tests can be helpful for validating the logic of individual RLS policies. However, they may not capture the interaction between policies and real data, especially data with the "Confidential" label.

B is the most effective method: Using different user accounts with varying roles and accessing data with different sensitivity labels allows you to directly verify if the RLS policies are restricting access as intended.

C is another effective method: Fabric application logs contain information about RLS evaluations, including successes and failures. Analyzing these logs can reveal issues with policy configuration or unexpected data behaviors that affect RLS.

D is less effective: While deploying to a staging environment allows manual testing, it's less efficient than ad-hoc queries and log analysis in pinpointing specific RLS issues. Additionally, manually testing all possible user-data combinations might be impractical.
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Question 28: **Incorrect**

Your Fabric application uses large datasets with complex DAX calculations for financial reports. Users experience latency when applying custom filters based on date ranges.

How can you use DAX Studio to optimize performance for date-based filtering scenarios?

A. Utilize calculated columns with pre-aggregated date dimensions to avoid complex calculations in filters.

B. Leverage the CALENDAR functions in DAX expressions to optimize date calculations within filter conditions.

C. Implement filters directly in the underlying data source to reduce server-side processing workload.

D. Use the View Metric tool to compare the performance of different date filter formulations in DAX expressions.

* **A**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: B

Explanation:

B directly addresses the scenario and utilizes efficient DAX functions:

CALENDAR functions like CALENDAR, CALENDARMONTH, and CALENDARYEAR handle date computations efficiently, optimizing filter performance.

A can be helpful, but optimizing DAX expressions is more effective in this case:

Pre-aggregated calculated columns improve overall query performance but may not specifically address the slowness caused by date filter calculations.

C may not be feasible or desirable depending on data source availability and security considerations:

Offloading filtering to the data source can have limitations and might not be applicable in all situations.

D is useful for comparing alternative filter formulations but doesn't solve the underlying performance issue:

View Metric can help choose the most performant filter logic but doesn't optimize the calculations themselves.
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Question 29: **Incorrect**

You are developing a Fabric application that uses object-level security (OLS) with data classification labels. The application retrieves data from multiple external sources, some of which have their own classification schemes. You want to ensure consistent data sensitivity labeling across all sources.

How can you achieve this validation with Fabric tools and services?

A. Use Fabric dataflows to transform incoming data and apply a mapping between external labels and Fabric labels.

B. Implement Azure Data Factory pipelines to extract, transform, and load data, including label normalization steps.

C. Develop custom Fabric functions to analyze incoming data and assign consistent Fabric labels based on predefined rules.

D. Leverage Azure Cognitive Services Text Analytics API to detect sensitive information in textual data and assign Fabric labels.

* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A and B

Explanation:

A and B are both viable solutions:

Fabric dataflows: Offer a visual interface for designing data pipelines and easily apply label mapping transformations.

Azure Data Factory pipelines: Provide more scripting flexibility and can handle complex data manipulations, including label normalization.

C is a potential solution: Custom Fabric functions can be powerful, but development and maintenance overhead might be higher compared to dataflows or pipelines.

D is not the best option: While Text Analytics can identify sensitive information, it might not accurately map external labels to Fabric labels, requiring additional configuration or manual intervention.
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Question 30: **Incorrect**

You are a data engineer for a large organization. You have been tasked with creating and managing shortcuts in your data lakehouse. Which of the following would be the best practices to follow? (Choose two)

A. Create shortcuts for all objects regardless of their usage frequency.

B. Create shortcuts only for frequently accessed objects.

C. Regularly update shortcuts to reflect changes in the underlying data.

D. Create shortcuts and leave them unchanged regardless of changes in the underlying data.

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**

**Explanation**

: B & C. Create shortcuts only for frequently accessed objects & Regularly update shortcuts to reflect changes in the underlying data.

Explanation: Option B is a good practice as it helps in improving the efficiency of data access. Option C is also important because if the underlying data changes and the shortcuts are not updated, it could lead to inconsistencies and errors. Options A and D are not recommended as they could lead to clutter and inconsistencies respectively.
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Question 31: **Correct**

You are a Fabric administrator for a large retail company with a multi-region deployment. Your primary data center is in the East US region, but you also have active users and workloads in the West US and Europe regions. You are concerned about optimizing query performance and minimizing latency for users in all regions. Which set of settings would you recommend in the Fabric admin portal?

Answer choices:

A. Enable geo-replication for all data workspaces and set the default region to East US.

B. Create separate workspaces for each region and enable geo-replication only for workspaces with cross-region data dependencies.

C. Enable multi-region query routing and set the preferred region to East US for all users.

D. Enable multi-region query routing and configure region affinity rules based on user location and workload characteristics.

* **B**
* **A**
* **C**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

D. Enable multi-region query routing and configure region affinity rules based on user location and workload characteristics.

Here's a breakdown of why this option is superior to the others:

Option A:

Drawback: Geo-replicating all workspaces to all regions can increase storage costs and introduce data management complexities.

Not optimal: Setting a default region to East US would still prioritize that region for queries, potentially leading to latency for users in other regions.

Option B:

Drawback: Creating separate workspaces can isolate data and make cross-region queries more difficult.

Not optimal: Geo-replication only for workspaces with cross-region dependencies might not address latency issues for users working primarily within their own region.

Option C:

Drawback: Setting a preferred region to East US for all users would still result in latency for those located in other regions.

Option D (Recommended):

Advantages:

Directs queries to the nearest region: This significantly reduces latency and improves performance for users across all regions.

Tailored routing: Region affinity rules can be customized based on factors like user location, data locality, and workload types, ensuring optimal routing for different scenarios.

Flexibility: It allows for dynamic adjustments to routing based on changing usage patterns or network conditions.
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Question 32: **Incorrect**

You're building a Power BI report for a global retail chain analyzing sales trends across different regions and product categories. The data model includes:

A large transactional fact table (FactSales) with detailed sales data (date, store, product, quantity, etc.) stored in Azure Synapse Analytics.

Smaller dimension tables (DimRegions, DimProducts, etc.) stored in Power BI Desktop.

To provide users with fast performance for exploring overall sales trends, you want to pre-aggregate data in Azure Synapse Analytics.

Which aggregation strategy would be most effective in this scenario considering performance and flexibility?

A. Create pre-aggregated tables in Azure Synapse Analytics for popular combinations of region and product category dimensions.

B. Aggregate the entire FactSales table into a single pre-aggregated table in Azure Synapse Analytics.

C. Implement materialized views with pre-aggregated data in Azure Synapse Analytics based on frequently used queries.

D. Utilize calculated columns in Power BI Desktop to pre-aggregate data for specific visualizations.

* **D**
* **B**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

The correct answer is C. Implement materialized views with pre-aggregated data in Azure Synapse Analytics based on frequently used queries.

Here's why:

A: While pre-aggregating popular combinations offers some performance gain, it limits flexibility for analyzing other combinations without additional aggregations.

B: Aggregating the entire table eliminates the need for individual aggregations but loses detail and might not align with specific analysis needs.

C: Materialized views with user-defined aggregations based on frequently used queries offer a balance between performance and flexibility. Users can readily analyze different dimensions and metrics without waiting for new aggregations.

D: Calculated columns in Power BI Desktop are suitable for specific visualizations but might not be efficient for large-scale data manipulation and could impact model performance.

Explanation of wrong answers:

A and B: Both limit flexibility and potentially sacrifice detail for the sake of performance.

D: Calculated columns are not ideal for large-scale aggregations in this scenario.
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Question 33: **Incorrect**

You are a data analyst at Fabrikam, a manufacturing company, tasked with identifying product categories with the highest customer churn rate in the past year. The relevant data resides in a Fabric warehouse containing tables for customers, orders, and products.

Which of the following approaches would be the MOST efficient and accurate for achieving this goal using SQL?

A. Create a subquery to calculate the churn rate for each customer, then join it with the product table to determine the category for each churned customer. Finally, aggregate the data by product category to find the highest churn rate.

B. Use the visual query editor in Fabric to drag and drop tables, joining them on customer ID and product ID. Calculate the churn rate as a measure and filter by date range.

C. Design a machine learning model to predict customer churn based on their purchase history and demographics. Use the model to identify high-churn customers and analyze their product preferences.

D. Extract the relevant data from the warehouse into an Azure Data Lake Storage Gen2, then use Spark SQL to analyze the data and identify product categories with the highest churn rate.

* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **B**
* **C**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

A. Create a subquery to calculate the churn rate for each customer, then join it with the product table to determine the category for each churned customer. Finally, aggregate the data by product category to find the highest churn rate.

Explanation:

Option B, while user-friendly, may be less efficient for complex calculations like churn rate.

Option C focuses on prediction rather than historical analysis.

Option D involves unnecessary data movement and tool switching.

Option A utilizes SQL's powerful capabilities for subqueries, joins, and aggregations, allowing for direct calculation of churn rate within the warehouse and providing the most efficient and accurate answer.
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Question 34: **Correct**

You've developed a Fabric application with a tabular model experiencing slow refresh times when processing large datasets. Users require near real-time data updates for reports.

How can you optimize the model refresh process in Tabular Editor 2 to improve performance and meet user expectations?

A. Implement incremental refresh for frequently changing data partitions, minimizing the amount of data reprocessed on updates.

B. Leverage the Processing Options pane to adjust partition granularity and optimize column storage settings for query workloads.

C. Utilize the VertiPaq engine settings to increase memory allocation and enable parallel processing for faster data compression.

D. Implement columnstore indexes on frequently queried columns to improve data retrieval speed from the compressed format.

* **B**
* **C**
* **D**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

A is the most effective solution for addressing slow refresh times in this scenario:

Incremental refresh allows you to define partitions that change frequently and only reprocess those partitions during subsequent updates, significantly reducing overall refresh time.

This is ideal for near real-time data needs without reprocessing the entire dataset constantly.

B can be helpful for general performance optimization but doesn't specifically address refresh time:

Adjusting partition granularity and column storage settings can improve query performance and storage efficiency, but it doesn't directly impact the refresh process.

C can provide temporary performance boosts but isn't sustainable:

Increasing memory allocation and enabling parallel processing might improve compression speed, but it's resource-intensive and not a long-term solution for optimizing refreshes.

D can improve query performance but doesn't address the refresh process:

Columnstore indexes are beneficial for query speed on compressed data, but they don't affect the underlying refresh process and data loading times.
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Question 35: **Incorrect**

You are experiencing slow data loading times in your Power BI report, sourced from a dataflow that joins data from Azure Synapse Analytics and Azure Data Lake Storage. The dataflow uses a complex JOIN clause with several conditions.

Which approach should you prioritize to identify and resolve the data loading performance bottleneck?

A. Increase the compute power of the dataflow by scaling up the Azure Data Factory instance.

B. Instrument the dataflow with Azure Monitor to track execution times for each step and identify slow operations.

C. Rewrite the JOIN clause in the dataflow script using a different JOIN type (e.g., INNER vs. LEFT OUTER) without analyzing performance.

D. Analyze the query execution plan in Synapse Analytics to identify inefficient filters or missing indexes on joined tables.

* **A**
* **C**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **B**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

D. Analyze the query execution plan in Synapse Analytics to identify inefficient filters or missing indexes on joined tables.

Explanation:

Option A might be a temporary fix, but without identifying the root cause, the issue could persist or even worsen with larger data volumes.

Option B is helpful for future analysis, but it doesn't directly address the current bottleneck.

Option C could potentially worsen performance depending on the specific data scenario.

Option D directly tackles the root cause by analyzing the query execution plan in Synapse Analytics. This allows you to pinpoint inefficient filters, missing indexes on join columns, or other query optimization opportunities that can significantly improve data loading times for your Power BI report.
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Question 36: **Incorrect**

Your Fabric data warehouse stores tables for customers and products. You need to analyze customer sentiment towards specific products based on social media reviews. However, the reviews table only references customers by their social media handle, while the customer table uses unique customer IDs.

How should you implement bridge tables to facilitate this analysis?

A. Create a single bridge table with columns for customer social media handle, customer ID, and product ID.

B. Create two separate bridge tables: one linking customer social media handle and customer ID, and another linking customer ID and product ID.

C. Join the reviews table directly to the customer table using the social media handle as the common key.

D. Design a view over the reviews table that includes customer IDs by joining it with the customer table based on the social media handle.

* **D**
* **A**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: B

Explanation:

A: A single bridge table with all three columns would be inefficient and redundant, as the customer-product relationship already exists in the product table.

B: Creating two separate bridge tables is the most efficient approach. One bridge table links customers by their social media handle and ID, while the other links customers and products through their IDs. This avoids data redundancy and simplifies joins for analysis.

C: Joining the reviews table directly to the customer table based on the social media handle would work, but it would become complex if you need to analyze additional dimensions related to customers or products. Bridge tables offer a more flexible and scalable solution.

D: While creating a view with the joined data might seem convenient, it hides the underlying relationships and could impact performance for complex queries. Bridge tables provide clearer data organization and facilitate efficient joins.
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Question 37: **Correct**

Your Fabric application contains a tabular model with large, complex measures impacting report loading times and responsiveness. Users require quick insights from various perspectives.

How can you optimize the model in Tabular Editor 2 to improve measure performance and user experience?

A. Leverage the Verifier feature to identify potential syntax errors or logical inefficiencies within the measures.

B. Utilize the Formula Engine to analyze measure execution timelines and pinpoint expensive operations for optimization.

C. Implement pre-calculated measures for frequently used combinations of calculations to store pre-aggregated data and improve retrieval speed.

D. Utilize calculated columns instead of measures to perform complex calculations directly within the data model for faster processing.

* **D**
* **A**
* **B**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: C

Explanation:

C directly addresses the performance bottleneck of complex measures:

Pre-calculated measures allow you to define and store pre-aggregated data based on frequently used combinations of calculations within the measures.

This significantly reduces the processing overhead during report loading and user interactions, enabling faster insights extraction.

A can be helpful for identifying errors but doesn't directly contribute to performance optimization:

Verifier can identify syntax errors or inefficiencies within the measures' logic, but it doesn't provide insights into performance bottlenecks.

B is useful for analyzing performance but doesn't offer a concrete solution:

Formula Engine can pinpoint expensive operations within the measures, but it requires further action to optimize those operations.

D can be beneficial in some cases but might not be suitable for all complex calculations:

Calculated columns perform calculations directly within the data model, which can be faster than measures in limited cases.

However, they lack the flexibility and dynamic capability of measures, and might not be suitable for all complex scenarios.
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Question 38: **Correct**

You are building a customer segmentation dashboard in Power BI to analyze purchasing behavior and target marketing campaigns. The data includes customer demographics, purchase history, and website clickstream data. You want to segment customers based on their combined purchase amount and website engagement level.

Which approach should you use to join the data sources in the semantic model for customer segmentation?

A. Create a calculated column in the purchase history table to combine purchase amount and website engagement score, eliminating the need for a join.

B. Perform a natural join between the customer demographics and purchase history tables and then use a relationship to connect with the website clickstream data.

C. Implement a star schema in the lakehouse or warehouse with separate dimension tables for customer, purchase, and website, using foreign keys to link them to the fact table.

D. Use a dataflow to combine the data from all three sources into a single table, including appropriate columns for purchase amount and website engagement score.

* **A**
* **B**
* **D**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

C. Implement a star schema in the lakehouse or warehouse with separate dimension tables for customer, purchase, and website, using foreign keys to link them to the fact table.

Explanation:

Option A loses granularity by combining data in a calculated column, making detailed analysis and segmentation difficult.

Option B is inefficient for complex joins and doesn't offer flexibility for further segmentation based on individual dimensions.

Option D creates data redundancy and might not maintain proper relationships between dimensions and facts, impacting performance and query complexity.

Option C provides a well-defined and scalable data model for customer segmentation. Separate dimension tables ensure data integrity and allow for flexible joins based on specific customer, purchase, and website attributes, enabling robust segmentation analysis in Power BI.
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Question 39: **Correct**

You are building a customer churn prediction model in Microsoft Fabric using social media data. The data includes a "Post Date" column stored as timestamps, but you need to extract features like hour of day and day of week for analysis.

Which approach should you be used in a dataflow or the semantic model to efficiently extract these features from the "Post Date"?

A. Create separate calculated columns in the semantic model using functions like HOUR and DAY to extract features directly.

B. Implement a user-defined function (UDF) in SQL to parse the timestamp and return the desired features as new columns.

C. Utilize the "DateAdd" function in a dataflow to offset the timestamp to midnight and then extract features based on the adjusted date.

D. Leverage the "UDF for Python" functionality in the semantic model to write a custom function for complex feature extraction.

* **D**
* **C**
* **A**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

B. Implement a user-defined function (UDF) in SQL to parse the timestamp and return the desired features as new columns.

Explanation:

Option A requires creating multiple calculated columns, increasing model complexity.

Option C is unnecessarily convoluted and doesn't directly extract hour and day.

Option D might be overkill for simple feature extraction, and deploying custom UDFs in the semantic model can be performance-sensitive.

Option B is the most efficient and performant approach. By writing a SQL UDF, you can directly parse the timestamp within the dataflow, extracting hour and day of week as new columns for further analysis without introducing unnecessary complexity or performance overhead.
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Question 40: **Correct**

Your company analyzes user activity data in a Fabric data lakehouse to understand engagement and behavior patterns. The data model includes separate tables for users, sessions, and activities within each session. However, query performance for user-based analysis is slow due to frequent joins across these tables.

How can you improve query performance through data denormalization while minimizing redundancy and potential for data inconsistencies?

A. Add frequently used session and activity attributes directly to the user table.

B. Create a denormalized "user activity fact" table with key user attributes and aggregated session and activity metrics.

C. Implement materialized views of frequently used joins between the user, session, and activity tables.

D. Design a star schema with user dimension, session dimension, and activity fact tables, including relevant session and activity attributes in the fact table.

* **C**
* **A**
* **B**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: D

Explanation:

A: Adding individual session and activity attributes directly to the user table would lead to significant redundancy and data inconsistencies when updating sessions or activities.

B: Creating a "user activity fact" table with aggregated metrics might not offer enough detail for analysis and could introduce complexities in maintaining data consistency.

C: Materialized views can improve query performance but still require joins and don't address the underlying data model issue.

D: Designing a star schema with a user dimension, session dimension, and activity fact table is the most effective approach. This denormalizes the data within the fact table by including relevant session and activity attributes alongside user IDs. This optimizes joins and improves query performance for user-based analysis while managing redundancy and consistency through clear dimension tables.
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Question 41: **Incorrect**

You are a data analyst at TechCo, a technology company, tasked with analyzing website traffic data stored in a warehouse within the Fabric platform. You need to identify users who visited specific product pages and calculate the average time spent on each page.

Which of the following approaches would be MOST efficient for querying the warehouse data to achieve this goal?

A. Write a T-SQL query using the GROUP BY clause to group users by product page and then use the AVG function to calculate the average time spent.

B. Utilize the Fabric visual query editor to drag and drop tables and fields, creating a visual representation of the desired calculations.

C. Extract the relevant data from the warehouse into an Azure Databricks notebook and use Python libraries to perform the analysis and calculations.

D. Create a Power BI report connected to the warehouse and use its built-in visuals to display the average time spent on each product page.

* **B**
* **C**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

A. Write a T-SQL query using the GROUP BY and AVG functions.

Explanation:

Option B, while user-friendly, can be less efficient for complex queries like this.

Option C involves unnecessary data movement and tool switching, adding overhead.

Option D focuses on reporting rather than raw data analysis.

Option A directly leverages the power of T-SQL to efficiently group users by product page and calculate the average time spent using the built-in functions, making it the most efficient and accurate approach.
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Question 42: **Incorrect**

You are the Fabric administrator for a large e-commerce company with a rapidly growing customer base. Your Fabric environment supports a variety of data-intensive workloads, including real-time analytics, machine learning models, and historical data analysis. Recently, you have been experiencing performance issues, with slow query execution and data pipelines stalling.

Which of the following actions is the MOST effective way to manage Fabric capacity and resolve these performance issues?

A. Immediately scale up all Fabric resources to the highest available tier.

B. Implement resource quotas for individual workspaces and users.

C. Migrate all historical data to Azure Synapse Analytics.

D. Enable automatic scaling based on predefined thresholds and metrics.

* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **B**
* **C**
* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: D

Explanation:

A: While scaling up resources might temporarily improve performance, it's not a sustainable solution for a rapidly growing environment. It can also be expensive and lead to unused resources.

B: Resource quotas can help prevent resource overutilization by specific workspaces or users, but they don't address the overall capacity limitations of the Fabric environment.

C: Migrating historical data can free up resources in Fabric for real-time and active workloads, but it might not be feasible or necessary depending on the data's usage.

D: Automatic scaling based on predefined thresholds and metrics is the most efficient way to manage capacity in a dynamic environment. This allows Fabric to automatically adjust resources based on actual demand, optimizing performance and cost.
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Question 43: **Correct**

You are building a retail analytics dashboard in Power BI that analyzes product sales by region. The sales data includes a "Sales Amount" column with values stored as strings due to historical limitations. However, you need to perform calculations like average sales per region and compare sales trends across regions.

Which approach should you use in a dataflow to convert the "Sales Amount" data type efficiently and accurately?

A. Use the "Cast" function in a SQL transformation to convert the "Sales Amount" strings to decimals.

B. Leverage the "to\_decimal" function in a PySpark transformation to handle potential formatting inconsistencies in the strings.

C. Employ a combination of regular expressions and conditional statements in a SQL transformation to clean and convert the strings.

D. Implement a custom Python function within the dataflow to handle complex parsing and validation of the "Sales Amount" strings.

* **D**
* **A**
* **C**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

B. Leverage the "to\_decimal" function in a PySpark transformation to handle potential formatting inconsistencies in the strings.

Explanation:

Option A might work if the strings are consistently formatted decimals, but it's fragile and risks errors for unexpected formats.

Option C is complex and requires careful coding, making it less efficient for large datasets.

Option D offers flexibility, but writing and debugging custom functions can be time-consuming.

Option B leverages the power of PySpark's "to\_decimal" function, which is designed to handle various decimal formats and locale settings. This ensures accurate conversion for potentially inconsistent string values, making it the most efficient and robust solution for this scenario.
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Question 44: **Correct**

Your Fabric data warehouse contains product and category tables connected by a bridge table. You need to add a new attribute "Category Level" to the category table, indicating the hierarchical level within the product category structure.

How should you update the bridge table to account for the new "Category Level" attribute?

A. Add a new column to the bridge table storing the "Category Level" value for each product-category relationship.

B. Extend the existing foreign key in the bridge table to reference a "Category Level" column within the category table.

C. Create a separate bridge table dedicated to storing product-category relationships with the new "Category Level" attribute.

D. Update the product table directly with the "Category Level" information, eliminating the need for a bridge table.

* **B**
* **D**
* **C**
* **A**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

Answer: A

Explanation:

B: Extending the foreign key wouldn't provide additional attribute information like level.

C: Creating a separate bridge table adds unnecessary complexity and maintenance overhead.

D: Modifying the product table directly violates normalization principles and could lead to data inconsistencies. Adding a new column to the existing bridge table to store the "Category Level" for each product-category relationship maintains a normalized structure and provides the desired information.
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Question 45: **Incorrect**

You are analyzing website traffic data in Power BI to understand user behavior. However, some session durations are recorded as zero, making it difficult to accurately measure engagement.

Which approach should you use to handle these zero-duration sessions while maintaining data integrity?

A. Set a minimum threshold duration and filter out all sessions with zero or negative values.

B. Replace zero durations with the median session length calculated across all sessions.

C. Flag zero-duration sessions as anomalies and exclude them from engagement calculations.

D. Implement a dataflow to investigate the source of zero sessions and fix the data capture process.

* **A**
* **B**
* **D**

**(Correct)**

* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

D. Implement a dataflow to investigate the source of zero sessions and fix the data capture process.

Explanation:

Option A discards potentially valid data and might create an inaccurate baseline.

Option B introduces bias by assuming all zero durations are equal to the median.

Option C excludes data without addressing the root cause, potentially skewing results.

Option D addresses the issue at its source. Investigating the data capture process through a dataflow can identify why sessions are recorded as zero (e.g., system errors, incorrect tracking) and fix the issue at the point of origin, ensuring accurate data for future analysis.
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Question 46: **Incorrect**

Your Fabric data lakehouse stores data from multiple online stores, including product details, prices, and sales figures. You need to build an analysis dashboard comparing product prices across different stores.

How should you implement bridge tables to enable this cross-store comparison?

A. Create a single bridge table with columns for product ID, store ID, price, and additional product details.

B. Develop separate bridge tables for each pair of stores, linking them based on shared product IDs.

C. Normalize the data by creating a central product dimension table with unique IDs and then linking it to separate store tables containing prices and sales figures.

D. Store the data in a single table with columns for product ID, store ID, price, and additional details, using flags to differentiate between stores.

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **B**
* **A**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: C

Explanation:

A: A single bridge table with all information would be redundant and difficult to manage as the number of stores grows.

B: Creating individual bridge tables for each store pair is impractical for a large number of stores, leading to data redundancy and complex query logic.

C: Normalizing the data by creating a central product dimension table with unique IDs and then linking it to separate store tables is the most efficient and scalable solution. This eliminates data redundancy, simplifies joins, and allows for easy comparison across stores.

D: Using flags to differentiate stores within a single table might work for simple comparisons, but it can become complex for queries involving additional product details or filtering by specific stores. Normalization offers better data organization and flexibility.
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Question 47: **Incorrect**

You are building a machine learning model in Azure Machine Learning using data stored as Delta tables in Azure Databricks. The model training performance suffers due to the large size of the training data in the Delta tables.

Which of the following techniques would be most effective in optimizing the data loading process for faster model training without compromising data accuracy?

A. Filter the Delta tables based on relevant features for the machine learning model before loading the data.

B. Use Azure Data Factory to copy the Delta tables to Azure Synapse Analytics and train the model there.

C. Leverage Delta table optimizations like partitioning and column pruning to efficiently read specific data subsets.

D. Implement distributed training in Azure Machine Learning to parallelize the training process across multiple nodes.

* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **A**
* **B**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

C. Leverage Delta table optimizations like partitioning and column pruning to efficiently read specific data subsets.

Explanation:

Option A reduces data volume but might exclude relevant features needed for the model, impacting accuracy.

Option B adds unnecessary data movement and introduces additional costs while offering no efficiency gain for Delta tables.

Option D is helpful for large-scale training, but doesn't address the initial data loading performance within the Delta table format.

Option C leverages native Delta table features like partitioning based on training-relevant columns and column pruning to read only the required data subsets. This significantly reduces the amount of data processed during loading, leading to faster model training without compromising accuracy.
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Question 48: **Incorrect**

Your Fabric environment already supports multiple analytics solutions for various departments. You're tasked with deploying a new solution with high-priority reports for senior management. These reports rely on data already processed by existing pipelines and models in Fabric.

How can you efficiently deploy the new solution while minimizing impact on existing workloads and maximizing performance for the high-priority reports?

A. Clone existing pipelines and models to create dedicated resources for the new solution.

B. Leverage existing pipelines and models directly, prioritize high-priority reports with resource quotas.

C. Implement separate Fabric workspaces for the new solution and configure data sharing with existing workspaces.

D. Use managed virtual networks (MVNs) within Fabric to isolate the new solution and prioritize resources.

* **C**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **A**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: B

Explanation:

A: Cloning resources increases infrastructure complexity and maintenance overhead.

C: Separate workspaces can be complex to manage and might not be necessary if existing resources are sufficient.

B: Leveraging existing pipelines and models is the most efficient approach. Resource quotas within Fabric allow prioritizing the high-priority reports without impacting existing workloads. This optimizes resource utilization and minimizes deployment complexity.

D: MVNs can be valuable for advanced scenarios but might be overkill for this situation where resource prioritization is the primary concern.
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Question 49: **Incorrect**

You are a data analyst working on a Power BI Desktop project for your company's marketing department. The project involves creating a report analyzing customer demographics and purchasing behavior across different marketing channels. You need to share the report with other analysts for feedback and collaboration.

What is the BEST way to manage and share the Power BI Desktop project for this scenario?

A. Publish the report to the Power BI service immediately and grant access to other analysts.

B. Save the Power BI Desktop file (.pbix) in a shared folder and grant access to other analysts.

C. Create a Power BI workspace in the service and import the Power BI Desktop file.

D. Use Power BI Report Server to host the report and grant access to other analysts

* **B**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

* **A**
* **D**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: C

Explanation:

A: While publishing the report directly might seem efficient, it bypasses the crucial collaboration stage and risks losing valuable feedback from other analysts.

B: Sharing the .pbix file is convenient but lacks version control and collaboration features. Other analysts can't make changes directly, and managing different versions can be challenging.

C: Creating a Power BI workspace and importing the .pbix file is the recommended approach. This provides a central location for the project, allows version control and collaboration features, and enables secure access control for other analysts to provide feedback and contribute to the report development.

D: Power BI Report Server is a good option for large-scale enterprise deployments, but it might be overkill for this scenario and requires additional infrastructure and configuration.
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Question 50: **Correct**

You are a data scientist at Fabrikam, a manufacturing company, tasked with analyzing production data stored in a warehouse within the Fabric platform. You need to identify production lines with high defect rates and then diagnose the potential root causes by analyzing sensor readings and machine logs.

Which of the following features of the Fabric warehouse would be MOST beneficial for this analysis?

A. Real-time data ingestion and availability for immediate analysis.

B. Integration with Azure Machine Learning for advanced anomaly detection.

C. Historical data partitioning for efficient filtering and querying based on timestamps.

D. Automatic data cleansing and transformation for improved data quality.

* **A**
* **D**
* **B**
* **C**

**(Correct)**

**Explanation**

C. Historical data partitioning for efficient filtering and querying based on timestamps.

Explanation:

Real-time data is not relevant for analyzing historical defects.

Azure Machine Learning can be helpful, but it's not the primary feature for this scenario.

Automatic data cleansing is valuable, but partitioning is more crucial for efficient querying.

Partitioning the data by timestamps allows you to quickly filter and analyze data associated with specific production times, enabling efficient identification of high-defect periods and potential root causes within the machine logs and sensor readings.
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Question 51: **Incorrect**

You are developing a Fabric application that allows users to submit and approve expense reports. You need to ensure that only authorized users can submit and approve reports for their respective departments.

How can you achieve this using Fabric security features?

A. Implement dynamic RLS based on the user's department and the department associated with the expense report.

B. Create custom Fabric permissions for submitting and approving expense reports, assign these permissions to user groups based on department.

C. Use Azure Data Explorer (ADX) with Fabric data connectors to restrict access to expense report data based on user roles.

D. Leverage Azure Policy to define compliance rules for expense report submissions and approvals based on department.

* **A**
* **B**

**(Correct)**

* **D**
* **C**

**(Incorrect)**

**Explanation**

Answer: B

Explanation:

B is the most effective solution because it directly addresses the need for department-based access control within the Fabric application:

You can create custom Fabric permissions for submitting and approving expense reports.

These permissions can then be assigned to user groups based on their department affiliation.

This ensures that only users authorized for a specific department can submit and approve reports for that department.

A is not the best option because:

While dynamic RLS can be used to restrict access to data based on user attributes and data content, it would be more complex to implement in this scenario compared to custom permissions.

C is not the best option because:

ADX is a data analysis tool and not directly suited for access control within the Fabric application.

D is not the best option because:

Azure Policy is for defining compliance rules at the Azure resource level and not specifically for user access control within Fabric applications.
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